



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/675,509	09/29/2000	Chandler Fulton	030598.0028.UTL1	1879
30542	7590	11/21/2008	EXAMINER	
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP			TON, THAIAN N	
P.O. BOX 80278				
SAN DIEGO, CA 92138-0278			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1632	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/21/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/675,509	FULTON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Thaian N. Ton	1632	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 September 2008.

2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 10 and 33-40 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) 10,33 and 36-39 is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 34,35 and 40 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ .	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

## DETAILED ACTION

In view of the appeal brief filed on 9/8/08, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

(1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,

(2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

/Peter Paras, Jr./  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1632.

Claims 10, 33-40 are pending; claims 10, 33 and 36-39 are allowed; claims 34, 35 and 40 are under current examination. The Examiner responds to Applicants' remarks, set forth in the Appeal Brief, filed 9/8/08, as they pertain to the rejections set forth in this Office action.

### ***Written Description***

Claims 34 and 40 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time

the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The prior rejection of claim 35 is withdrawn in view of Applicants' arguments.

*Applicants Arguments.* Applicants argue that each and every nucleotide of SEQ ID NO: 3 is known and unambiguously described in the Application and that selecting a portion of SEQ ID NO: 3 that is 200 nucleotides in length is a trivial task to one of ordinary skill in the art as is determining the number of nucleotide bases that would constitute 90% of the selected portion of SEQ ID NO: 3, accordingly Applicants argue that the specification provides sufficient written description for the claimed invention (p. 6, 2nd ¶ of the Brief). Applicants argue that the Examiner's assertion that a 200 nucleotide segment is 18.7% of the total length is irrelevant to the determination as to whether there is adequate written description for the claimed invention because each and every nucleotide of SEQ ID NO: 3 is provided in the Application, therefore, every possible 200 nucleotide segment of SEQ IDNO: 3 is also inherently described. See p. 6, 3rd ¶ of the Brief.

*Response to Arguments.* These arguments have been considered, but are not persuasive. In particular, claim 34 is included in the instant rejection in view of the implied functional limitation presented in claim 40, namely that the sequence encodes a protein having thiaminase activity. The specification discloses the reduction to practice of one species within the claimed genus; specifically the protein encoded by the full length SEQ ID NO:3. There are no drawings or structural formulas disclosed of any other protein fragment, encompassed by the claims, that would encode a protein with a thiaminase activity, other than the full length SEQ ID NO: 3.

The recitation of a purified, enriched or isolated nucleic acid sequence that is at least 90% identical to a portion at least 200 nucleotides in length of the *N. gruberi* thiaminase sequence as set forth in SEQ ID NO: 3, and more specifically, wherein the protein has thiaminase activity, at the most, represents a partial structure. That is, the Examiner's previous analysis applies as follows:

1. SEQ ID NO: 3 is 1068 nucleotides in length.
2. 200 nucleotides of the total length is approximately 18.7%
3. 90% identical to an equal length of 200 nucleotides is 180 nucleotides.

Thus, Applicants are claiming a sequence that is at least 180 nucleotides identical to an equal length sequence that is only 18.7% of the total length of SEQ ID NO: 3. There is no teaching in the specification regarding which portion(s) of the resultant peptide can vary. There is no teaching in the specification with regard to what portion (at minimum, 18.7% of SEQ ID NO: 3 and 200 nucleotides in length) can be varied, while retaining the property of thiaminase activity. Furthermore, there is no art-recognized correlation between any structure (other than the full length SEQ ID NO: 3) and the thiaminase activity, based upon which those of ordinary skill in the art could predict which nucleic acids can vary from SEQ ID NO: 3 without losing thiaminase activity. Consequently, there is no information about which nucleic acids can vary from SEQ ID NO: 3 in the claimed genus of nucleic acids and still retain thiaminase activity.

Although the disclosure of a single disclosed species may provide an adequate written description for the genus, this is only the case when the species disclosed is representative of the genus. In the instant case, the genus encompasses sequences that have 90% homology to 200 nucleotides of SEQ ID NO: 3. These nucleic acids may encompass for example, polymorphisms and allelic variants. The specification does not provide any guidance for any of the fragments that are encompassed by the claims, other than the full length SEQ ID NO: 3. For example, there is no description of various mutational sites in an allele that would occur in nature, and the general knowledge in the art concerning alleles does not provide any indication of how the structure is representative of unknown alleles. The nature of alleles is that they are variant structures, and in the present state of the art, the structure of one does not provide structure to the others. The common attributes of the genus claimed are not described, and therefore one of skill would conclude that Applicants

were not in possession of the claimed genus because the only description that exists is that of the full length, 100% identical SEQ ID NO:3.

Although the disclosure of SEQ ID NO: 3 combined with the knowledge in the art would put one in possession of nucleic acid sequences that is at least 90% identical to a portion at least 200 nucleotides in length of SEQ ID NO: 3, the level and skill and knowledge in the art is such that one of ordinary skill would not be able to identify without further testing, which of the proteins encoded by the nucleic acid sequences (if any) would have thiaminase activity. Based upon the lack of knowledge and predictability in the art, those of ordinary skill in the art would not conclude that Applicants were in possession of the claimed genus of nucleic acid sequences claimed, based upon the disclosure of the single species of the full length SEQ ID NO: 3.

Accordingly, it is maintained that the claims fail to be described by the as-filed disclosure.

### ***Enablement***

Claims 34, 35 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for an purified, enriched or isolated nucleic acid sequence consisting of SEQ ID NO: 3, does not reasonably provide enablement for fragments of SEQ ID NO: 3, wherein the nucleic acid sequence is at least 90% identical to a portion at least 200 nucleotides in length of the *N. gruberi* thiaminase sequence (SEQ ID NO: 3). The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Enablement is considered in view of the Wands factors (MPEP 2164.01(A)). These include: nature of the invention, breadth of the claims, guidance of the specification, the existence of working examples, state of the art, predictability of the art and the amount of experimentation necessary. All of the Wands factors

have been considered with regard to the instant claims, with the most relevant factors discussed below.

The claimed invention is directed to a purified, enriched, or isolated nucleic acid sequence, wherein the nucleic acid sequence is at least 90% identical to a portion at least 200 nucleotides in length of the *N. gruberi* thiaminase sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 3. Further embodiments recite that the nucleic acid sequence comprises a sequence at least 95% identical to the sequence of SEQ ID NO:3, and additionally embodiments recite that the nucleic acid sequence encodes a protein having thiaminase activity.

The breadth of the claims encompasses any fragment that is at least 90% identical to 200 nucleotides of SEQ ID NO: 3.

The specification teaches two thiaminases have been described in the art, thiaminase I and II. None of these thiaminases has been found in mammals or birds; prior to the claimed invention only thiaminase I of *B. thiaminolyticus* has been cloned (p. 5, lines 28-30). The specification teaches that thiaminase I from *N. gruberi*, which is instantly claimed, has been purified (p. 18, lines 17+). The working examples prophetically suggest that there may be homology between unknown and unidentified thiaminases from other organisms, however, no reduction to practice is provided to show homology between thiaminase I of *N.gruberi* and any other thiaminase. See p. 298-29, bridging ¶. The specification teaches that *Bacillus* thiaminase I only has a ~25% identity in a 358 amino acid overlap and no other proteins showed strong identity over a long, overlapping sequence (p. 29, lines 17-26).

The specification teaches using cells that express thiaminase I to induce apoptotic cell death by reducing the level of thiamin (p. 1, Field of Invention). The specification teaches using nucleic acid sequences encoding a thiamin-depleting agent to a particular cell to induce apoptosis (p. 4, lines 25+), wherein the method can be *in vitro* or *in vivo*. In particular, the specification teaches isolated, purified,

or enriched nucleic acid molecules encoding a non-*Bacillus thiaminase*, and various nucleic acid fragments (p. 6). The specification teaches that the nucleic acid fragments can have various percentage of homology and may encode derivatives with specific amino acids, and that the nucleic acid can encode a polypeptide thiamin-binding compound or derivative (p. 7, lines 5-14).

Accordingly, the specification provides guidance for utilizing nucleic acid sequences that encode polypeptides that have thiamin-binding activity for various *in vitro* and *in vivo* uses. However, the breadth of the instant claims encompasses any nucleic acid sequence fragment, which may, or may not, have thiaminase activity.

The claimed invention is not enabling for the various fragments contemplated and encompassed by the claims because the specification provides no specific guidance with regard to these variants, the specific properties and functional domains for these variants and fragments, such that the resultant fragments have thiaminase activity. The specification does not provide any guidance for nucleic acid fragments that do not encode a thiaminase, or encode a peptide that does not have thiaminase activity. Given that the specification provides no guidance for specific functional domains of thiaminase I from *N. gruberi*, and that this thiaminase I has no significant homology to any known peptide; and only ~25% homology to a portion of the *Bacillus* thiaminase I, one of skill in the art would have had to practice undue experimentation to determine which of the variants or fragments encompassed by the claims, would encode a thiaminase I, or derivative thereof, which would have thiaminase I. One of skill in the art could not rely upon the state of the art because the state of the art does not provide specific guidance for thiaminases, in general, and specifically, with regard to thiaminase I. Additionally, one of skill in the art would have had to practice undue experimentation to determine how to use nucleic acid fragments that did not have encode proteins with thiaminase activity, because the specification only contemplates fragments that

encode functional proteins. Given that the specification only provides guidance for the full length SEQ IDNO: 3 and its encoded protein, it would have required undue experimentation for one of skill in the art to determine which, if any, of the fragments encompassed by the claims would have thiaminase activity, such that these fragments could be used in any of the methods contemplated by the specification.

Accordingly, in view of the view of the lack of specific guidance or teaching provided by the specification with regard to specific domains and structure of thiaminases in general, and specifically, with regard to thiaminase I, the lack of correlation between any fragments encompassed by the claims and an enabled use for these fragments, and the art of thiaminases, which clearly show that thiaminase I from *N. gruberi* does not have significant homology to any known thiaminase, it would have required undue experimentation for one of skill in the art make and use the claimed invention.

***Conclusion***

Claims 10, 33, 36-39 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thaian N. Ton whose telephone number is (571)272-0736. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Paras can be reached on 571-272-4517. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Thaian N. Ton/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1632